Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/cam)

# Journal of Computational and Applied **Mathematics**

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/cam](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/cam)

# Phase-fitted Runge–Kutta pairs of orders 8(7)

# Ch. Tsitour[a](#page-0-0)s<sup>a</sup>, I.Th. Famelis <sup>[b](#page-0-1)</sup>, T.E. Simos <sup>[c,](#page-0-2)[d,](#page-0-3)\*</sup>

<span id="page-0-0"></span>a *TEI of Sterea Hellas, Department of Automation Engineering, GR34400, Psahna Campus, Greece*

<span id="page-0-1"></span>b *TEI of Athens, microSENSES Laboratory, Department of Electronic Engineering, GR11210, Egaleo, Greece*

<span id="page-0-2"></span><sup>c</sup> *Group of Modern Computational Methods, Ural Federal University, 620002, 19 Mira Street, Ekaterinburg, Russian Federation*

<span id="page-0-3"></span>d *Laboratory of Computational Sciences, Department of Informatics and Telecommunications, Faculty of Economy, Management and*

A B S T R A C T

problems.

*Informatics, University of Peloponnese, Greece*<sup>[1](#page-0-5)</sup>

### ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:* Received 12 July 2016 Received in revised form 24 February 2017

*MSC:* 65L05 65L06

*Keywords:* Initial value problem Numerical solution Variable step Phase fitted Runge–Kutta

## **1. Introduction**

For the numerical solution of the initial value problem

$$
y' = f(x, y), \qquad y(x_0) = y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad x \in [x_0, x_e]
$$
 (1)

A new phase fitted Runge–Kutta pair of orders 8(7) which is a modification of a well known explicit Runge–Kutta pair for the integration of periodic initial value problems is presented. Numerical experiments show the efficiency of the new pair in a wide range of oscillatory

where  $f: \Re \times \Re^m \mapsto \Re^m$ , the Explicit Runge–Kutta (RK) pairs are widely used. Such pairs can be presented by the extended Butcher tableau [\[1,](#page-5-0)[2\]](#page-5-1):

*c A b b*ˆ

with  $b^T$ ,  $\hat{b}^T$ ,  $c \in \Re^s$  and  $A \in \Re^{s \times s}$  is strictly lower triangular. Such methods advance the solution from  $x_n$  to  $x_{n+1} = x_n + h_n$ using the following two approximations at each step,  $y_{n+1}$ ,  $\hat{y}_{n+1}$  to  $y(x_{n+1})$  of orders *p* and *p* − 1 respectively,

$$
y_{n+1}=y_n+h_n\sum_{i=1}^s b_if_{ni}
$$

<span id="page-0-4"></span>∗ Correspondence to: 26 Menelaou Street Amfithea-Paleon Faliron, GR-175 64 Athens, Greece. *E-mail address:* [tsimos.conf@gmail.com](mailto:tsimos.conf@gmail.com) (T.E. Simos). *URLs:* <http://users.ntua.gr/tsitoura> (Ch. Tsitouras), <http://users.teiath.gr/ifamelis> (I.Th. Famelis).

<span id="page-0-5"></span>1 Part time.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2017.02.030> 0377-0427/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. CrossMark

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



$$
\det^{\left(1\right)}
$$

and

$$
\hat{y}_{n+1} = y_n + h_n \sum_{i=1}^s \hat{b}_i f_{ni},
$$

where

$$
f_{ni}=f\left(x_n+c_ih_n,y_n+h_n\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}a_{ij}f_{nj}\right)
$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$ . Using this embedded form we can obtain an estimate  $E_{n+1} = ||y_{n+1} - \hat{y}_{n+1}||$  of the local truncation error of the *p*−1 order formula. Then the next step of the numerical solution can be computed using a step-size control algorithm

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
h_{n+1} = 0.9 \cdot h_n \cdot \left(\frac{\text{TOL}}{E_{n+1}}\right)^{1/p},\tag{2}
$$

where TOL being the requested tolerance. If  $E_{n+1} >$  TOL the step  $h_n$  computed to advance the approximation of the solution from  $x_n$  to  $x_{n+1}$  is rejected and the same formula [\(2\)](#page-1-0) is assumed to recompute the new smaller current step. In (2) the 0.9 is a safety factor that is used so that the error will be acceptable the next time with high probability. For more details on the implementation of these types of step size policies see [\[2,](#page-5-1)[3\]](#page-5-2).

#### **2. Basic theory**

When a RK method is applied to solve the test problem

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
y' = i\omega y, \quad \omega \in \mathfrak{R}, \ i = \sqrt{-1}, \tag{3}
$$

we are led to the numerical scheme

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
y_{n+1}=P(i\omega h_n)y_n,
$$

 $h_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$ , where the function *P* (*iv*) = *P* (*i*ω*h*) satisfies the relation

$$
P (iv) = 1 + ivb (I - ivA)^{-1} e = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t_j (iv)^j,
$$
 (4)

and for  $j \ge 1$ ,  $t_j = bA^{j-1}e$ ,  $t_0 = 1$  and  $e = [1, 1, \ldots, 1] \in \Re^s[4]$  $e = [1, 1, \ldots, 1] \in \Re^s[4]$ . The quantities  $t_j$  depend only on the coefficients of the method. For explicit methods (e.g. *A* strictly lower triangular), the above sum in the determination of *P* (*i*v) is finite and *j* runs from 0 to *s*.

Following [\[5\]](#page-5-4), we define the phase-lag (or dispersion) order of a RK method as the order of approximation of the argument of the exponential function by the argument of *P* along the imaginary axis. Equivalently, the phase-lag order of a method is *q*, whenever  $\delta(v) = O(v^{q+1})$ , for  $\delta(v) = v - \arg(P(iv))$ . We define also, the imaginary stability interval of a RK method  $I_l = (0, v_0)$  by the relations  $|P(iv)| < 1$  and  $|P(i(v_0 + \theta))| > 1$ , for every  $v \in I_l$  and every suitably small positive  $\theta$ . When a method has a non-vanishing imaginary stability interval then it is called dissipative.

Even though in the definition for a RK method the phase-lag property is based on the solution of a special problem [\(3\),](#page-1-1) the numerical tests presented in [\[6](#page-5-5)[,4\]](#page-5-3) strongly indicated that the RK pairs of high phase-lag order exhibit a remarkable numerical performance on a much wider class of test problems. Especially for a certain class of initial value problems (as those whose solutions are described by free oscillations or free oscillations of low frequency with forced oscillations of high frequency superimposed, over long integration intervals), one should use pairs of methods of high phase-lag order with minimized leading truncation error coefficients instead of pairs of the same algebraic order which attain the minimal algebraic order and phase-lag order allowed by the number of method's stages.

#### **3. Methods with known frequency**

Gautschi [\[7\]](#page-5-6) has been the first who tried to fit a method to a set of linearly independent trigonometric functions. Since then a lot of methods trying to do something similar have been constructed. Here we will exploit the knowledge of  $v = \omega h$ in the direction of the ideas presented in the previous section.

We observe that

$$
P (iv) = Q (v) + iR (v)
$$
  
=  $(1-t_2v^2 + t_4v^4 - t_6v^6 \pm \cdots) + i(v - t_3v^3 + t_5v^5 - t_7v^7 \pm \cdots)$ 

which is a finite series for explicit methods, as we have mentioned.

Requiring  $\delta(v) = v - \arg(P(iv)) = 0$  and tan  $v = \frac{R(v)}{Q(v)}$  then

*Q* (*v*) tan  $v = R(v)$ 

holds, restricting just one *tji* to some expression of v. A new method can be derived by solving all the order conditions and the equation for the restricted  $t_j$ . As the number of stages of a method is greater than its order (e.g.  $s > p$ ) when  $p > 4$ , there is always some free  $t_i$ ,  $j > p$  to solve for. Such a method is characterized as a *phase-fitted* method and these ideas where first introduced by Raptis and Simos [\[8\]](#page-5-7).

In the next section we construct explicit phase-fitted RK formulas of orders 8(7) with 13 stages and we derive coefficients for a phase fitted pair based on a classical similar pair of Prince and Dormand [\[9\]](#page-5-8).

#### **4. Phase-fitted Runge–Kutta pairs of orders 8(7)**

For the popular family that PD8(7) [\[9\]](#page-5-8) belongs to we set  $c_{12} = 1$ ,  $a_{13,12} = 0$  and when solving the set of order conditions we have the following free parameters  $c_2$ ,  $c_5$ ,  $c_6$ ,  $c_7$ ,  $c_8$ ,  $c_{10}$ ,  $c_{11}$ ,  $a_{8,7}$ ,  $b_{13}$ ,  $\hat{b}_{12}$ ,  $\hat{b}_{13}$  to use for the minimization of the principal local truncation error term. For the classical DP8(7) the choice of the parameters set is  $c_2=\frac{1}{18}$ ,  $c_5=\frac{5}{16}$ ,  $c_6=\frac{3}{8}$ ,  $c_7=\frac{59}{400}$ ,  $c_8 = \frac{93}{200}$ ,  $c_{10} = \frac{13}{20}$ ,  $c_{11} = \frac{1201146811}{1299019798}$ ,  $a_{8,7} = -\frac{180193667}{1043307555}$ ,  $b_{13} = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\hat{b}_{12} = \frac{2}{45}$ ,  $\hat{b}_{13} = 0$ .

For such methods  $(4)$  takes the form

$$
P = Q(v) + \sqrt{-1}R(v)
$$
  
=  $\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{1}{24}v^4 - \frac{1}{720}v^6 + \frac{1}{40320}v^8 - t_{10}v^10 + t_{12}v^{12}\right)$   
+  $\sqrt{-1}\left(v - \frac{1}{6}v^3 + \frac{1}{120}v^5 - \frac{1}{5040}v^7 + t_9v^9 - t_{11}v^{11}\right)$ 

and for phase-fitted methods we require  $v = \arg(P(iv))$ . So we solve

 $Q(v)$  tan  $v = R(v)$ 

for  $a_{8,7}$  to get its expression with respect to v and tan (v). Asking a high precision least squares approximation of the solution over a dense uniform grid of the interval [0, 1.5] we finally get

$$
a_{8,7}=\frac{C(v)}{D(v)}
$$

where

 $C(v) = -0.19781108078634084 - 0.164050909125528499v^2$  $+ \, 0.042578310088756321 v^4 - 0.002300513610963998 v^6$  $+$  0.000033467244551879287 $v^8$   $-$  7.8661142036921924  $\cdot$  10 $^{-8}v^{10}$ 

and

 $\mathit{D}(v) \, = \, 1 - 0.296457092123567400 v^2 + 0.0015793885907465726 v^4$ 

 $-0.00018913011771688527v^6 + 0.000017089234650765179v^8 - 1.2705211682518626 \cdot 10^{-7}v^{10}.$ 

The behavior of  $a_{8,7}$  for  $v \in [0, 1.5]$  is presented in [Fig. 1.](#page-3-0) Now, only the following coefficients of the method depend on  $a_{8,7}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned} a_{8,1} &= 0.026876256 + 0.0576576 a_{8,7}, \\ a_{8,4} &= 0.22464336 - 0.944944 a_{8,7}, \\ a_{8,5} &= 0.000369024 - 0.2061696 a_{8,7}, \\ a_{8,6} &= 0.21311136 + 0.093456 a_{8,7}, \\ a_{9,1} &= 0.07239997637512857 + 0.01913119863380767 a_{8,7}, \\ a_{9,4} &= -0.688400520601143 - 0.3135390887207368 a_{8,7}, \\ a_{9,5} &= -0.688400520601143 - 0.3135390887207368 a_{8,7}, \\ a_{9,6} &= -0.17301267570583073 - 0.06840852844816077 a_{8,7}, \\ a_{9,7} &= 0.1440060555560846 + 0.031009360422930017 a_{8,7}, \\ a_{9,8} &= 0.9982362892760762 + 0.33180705811215994 a_{8,7}, \\ a_{10,1} &= 0.16261514523236525 - 0.12125171966747463 a_{8,7}, \\ a_{10,4} &= -2.1255544052061124 + 1.9871809612169453 a_{8,7}, \\ a_{10,5} &= -0.216403903283323 + 0.43356675517460624 a_{8,7}, \end{aligned}
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Fig. 1.** The  $a_{8,7}(v)$  for  $v \in [0, 1.5]$ .

 $a_{10.6} = -0.060417230254934076 - 0.1965343807796979a_{8.7}$  $a_{10.7} = -0.060417230254934076 - 0.1965343807796979a_{8.7}$  $a_{10,8} = 2.4846281621788395 - 2.102961615944379a_{8,7}$  $a_{11.1} = -1.0320124180911034 + 1.061943768952537a_{8.7}$  $a_{11.4} = 13.666683232895137 - 17.40407843561103a_{8.7}$  $a_{11.5} = 0.25990355211486116 - 3.797253476860588a_{8.7}$  $a_{11,6} = -5.759316475814002 + 1.7212824826428488a_{8,7}$  $a_{11,7} = -12.822511612651839 + 18.41810566087623a_{8,7}$  $a_{12.1} = 0.2478349764611783 - 0.06383934946543009a_{8.7}$  $a_{12.4} = -4.593782880309185 + 1.046256005127882a_{8.7}$  $a_{12,5} = -0.39566692537411896 + 0.22827403748244698a_{8,7}$  $a_{12,6} = -3.0673550479691665 - 0.10347586863902129a_{8,7}$  $a_{12.7} = 5.386688702227177 - 1.1072148245058775a_{8.7}$  $a_{13,1} = 0.7332242174431163 - 0.5164807626867616a_{8,7}$  $a_{13,4} = -10.196728938160977 + 8.464545832921925a_{8.7}$  $a_{13.5} = -0.43865244706547707 + 1.846809999910238a_{8.7}$  $a_{13.6} = 0.5693856884667226 - 0.8371528845746959a_{8.7}$  $a_{13.7} = 10.52865228002416 - 8.957722185570706a_{8.7}$ 

whereas, the other coefficients are the same ones of classical PD8(7) pair. It is obvious that the computational overhead for evaluating these coefficients is negligible.

#### 5. Numerical experiments

The new phase fitted pair of order 8(7), which we call NEW8(7)v, was based on the classical Runge-Kutta pair of orders 8(7) due to Prince and Dormand [9], in this work called PD8(7), and so we chose this method for the comparison of the new method. The pairs were run for tolerances  $10^{-3}$ ,  $10^{-4}$ , ...,  $10^{-9}$  in variable step-size and measure the  $-\log_{10}(\text{end-point})$ error) at y and the total number of function evaluations needed for the following well known problems from the literature problems.

## The model equation

 $y'' = -25y$ ,

with  $y(0) = 1$ ,  $y'(0) = 0$  for  $x \in [0, 20\pi]$ . Its exact solution is  $y(x) = \cos 5x$ . For this problem  $\omega = 5$ . **Bessel equation** 

$$
y''=-\left(100+\frac{1}{4x^2}\right)y,
$$

| rne mouer equation results.  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Tolerance                    | $10^{-3}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-5}$ | $10^{-6}$ | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-8}$ | $10^{-9}$ |
| Correct digits               |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| PD8(7)                       | 2.08      | 3.00      | 4.08      | 5.22      | 6.38      | 7.54      | 8.69      |
| <b>NEW8(7)v</b>              | 12.45     | 13.11     | 12.40     | 13.70     | 12.49     | 13.06     | 13.07     |
| Fun. Ev.                     |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| PD8(7)                       | 1781      | 2418      | 3211      | 4264      | 5668      | 7540      | 10036     |
| <b>NEW8(7)v</b>              | 3112      | 2924      | 3640      | 4654      | 6032      | 7891      | 10387     |
|                              |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Table 2                      |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| The Bessel equation results. |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Tolerance                    | $10^{-3}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-5}$ | $10^{-6}$ | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-8}$ | $10^{-9}$ |
| Correct digits               |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| PD8(7)                       | 2.86      | 3.87      | 4.48      | 5.51      | 6.73      | 7.94      | 9.12      |
| NEW8(7) <sub>V</sub>         | 7.49      | 8.03      | 9.83      | 10.43     | 11.48     | 12.75     | 13.05     |
| Fun. Ev.                     |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| PD8(7)                       | 2429      | 3754      | 3282      | 4186      | 5577      | 7436      | 9932      |
| NEW8(7) <sub>V</sub>         | 3185      | 3264      | 3627      | 4628      | 5993      | 7865      | 10 309    |
|                              |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Table 3                      |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| The hyperbolic PDE results.  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Tolerance                    | $10^{-3}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-5}$ | $10^{-6}$ | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-8}$ | $10^{-9}$ |
|                              |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Correct digits               | 2.20      | 2.96      | 3.93      | 5.18      | 5.67      | 6.63      | 7.73      |
| PD8(7)                       |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| NEW8(7) <sub>V</sub>         | 2.55      | 3.73      | 4.99      | 6.38      | 8.03      | 9.00      | 10.07     |
| Fun. Ev.                     |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| PD8(7)                       | 5382      | 5486      | 5850      | 7384      | 10335     | 13897     | 18512     |

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 1** The model equation results.

with initial conditions *y* (1) = −0.2459357644513483,

*y*<sup>'</sup> (1) = -0.5576953439142885, for *x* ∈ [1, 32.59406213134967].

The theoretical solution of this problem is  $y(x) = \sqrt{x}$ *xJ*<sup>0</sup> (10*x*). The 100th zero of this problem was observed for  $x = 32.59406213134967$ , [\[10\]](#page-5-9). We used  $\omega = 10$  for derivation of the coefficients of phase-fitted methods.

PD8(7) 5382 5486 5850 7384 10 335 13 897 18 512 NEW8(7)v 6187 6801 7051 9229 14921 16406 20709

## **Hyperbolic problem**

The hyperbolic PDE,

ϑ*u*  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}$  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}$ ,  $u(x, 0) = 0$ ,  $u(0, r) = \sin \pi^2 r^2$ ,  $0 < r < 1, x > 0$ 

is semi-discretized by symmetric differences (with  $\Delta r = 1/50$ ) to the system of ODEs



In  $[5]$  it was found that the 500th zero of the 20th component in the above equation was reached for  $x = 33.509996948$ . So we integrated the methods to that point. As, there is not some dominant frequency for this problem, we use a rough estimation of  $\omega = 50$  which is not far from the largest eigenvalue of the problem.

.

#### **Nonlinear problem**

$$
y'' = -100y + \sin y,
$$

with  $y$  (0) = 0,  $y$  (0) = 1 for  $x \in [0, 20\pi]$ . The analytic solution is not known but with a quadruple precision integration at high tolerance it can be found that  $y(20\pi) = 3.92823991 \cdot 10^{-4}$ , [\[11\]](#page-5-10). We used  $\omega = 10$  for this problem.

Most of the problems we choose have oscillatory solutions that are not described by trivial trigonometric solutions. The results over the problems are presented in [Tables 1–4](#page-4-0) and reveal the superiority of the proposed phase fitted method in a competitive computational cost.



# **Table 4**

#### **6. Conclusion**

A new phase-fitted pair of orders 8(7) is presented in this article. The numerical experiments on problems with oscillatory solutions indicate that the new phase fitted pair is appropriate for initial value problems with oscillatory solutions.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>
- [1] J.C. [Butcher, The Numerical Analysis of Ordinary Differential Equations, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 1987.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0377-0427(17)30095-X/sbref1)<br>[2] E. Hairer, S.P. Norset, G. Wanner, Solving Ordinary Differential Equations I, second ed., Springer-Ve

<span id="page-5-2"></span>[3] C. T[sitouras, S.N. Papakostas, Cheap error estimation for Runge–Kutta pairs, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 20 \(1999\) 2067–2088.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0377-0427(17)30095-X/sbref3)

<span id="page-5-3"></span>[4] S.N[. Papakostas, C. Tsitouras, High phase-lag order Runge-Kutta and Nystrom pairs, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 21 \(1999\) 747–763.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0377-0427(17)30095-X/sbref4)

<span id="page-5-4"></span>[5] P.J.[V. der Houwen, B.P. Sommeijer, Explicit Runge–Kutta \(-Nystrom\) methods with reduced phase-errors for computing oscillating solutions, SIAM J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0377-0427(17)30095-X/sbref5) Numer. Anal. 24 (1987) 407–442.

<span id="page-5-5"></span>[6] G. [Papageorgiou, C. Tsitouras, S.N. Papakostas, Runge-Kutta pairs for periodic initial value problems, Computing 51 \(1993\) 151–163.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0377-0427(17)30095-X/sbref6)

<span id="page-5-6"></span>[7] W. [Gautschi, Numerical methods for differential equations based on trigonometric polynomials, Numer. Math. 3 \(1961\) 381–397.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0377-0427(17)30095-X/sbref7)

<span id="page-5-7"></span>[8] A.D[. Raptis, T. Simos, A four-step phase-fitted method for the numerical integration of second order initial-value problems, BIT 31 \(1991\) 160–168.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0377-0427(17)30095-X/sbref8)

- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[9] P.J. [Prince, J.R. Dormand, High order embedded Runge-Kutta formulae, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 7 \(1981\) 67–75.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0377-0427(17)30095-X/sbref9)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[10] C. T[sitouras, T.E. Simos, High order explicit methods for the integration of periodic initial value problems, Appl. Math. Comput. 95 \(1998\) 15–26.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0377-0427(17)30095-X/sbref10)

<span id="page-5-10"></span>[11] T.E. [Simos, C. Tsitouras, A p-stable eighth order method for the numerical integration of periodic initial value problems, J. Comput. Phys. 130 \(1997\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0377-0427(17)30095-X/sbref11) 123–128.