Defect concentration in nitrogen-doped graphene grown on Cu substrate: A thickness effect
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A B S T R A C T

Tuning the band-gap of graphene is a current need for real device applications. Copper (Cu) as a substrate plays a crucial role in graphene deposition. Here we report the fabrication of in-situ nitrogen (N) doped graphene via chemical vapor deposition (CVD) technique and the effect of Cu substrate thickness on the growth mechanism. The ratio of intensities of G and D peaks was used to evaluate the defect concentration based on local activation model associated with the distortion of the crystal lattice due to incorporation of nitrogen atoms into graphene lattice. The results suggest that Cu substrate of 20 µm in thickness exhibits higher defect density (1.86×10\(^{12}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) as compared to both 10 and 25 µm thick substrates (1.23×10\(^{12}\) cm\(^{-2}\) and 3.09×10\(^{11}\) cm\(^{-2}\), respectively). Furthermore, High Resolution -X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (HR-XPS) precisely affirms ~0.4 at% of nitrogen intercalations in graphene. Our results show that the substitutional type of nitrogen doping dominates over the pyridinic configuration. In addition, X-ray diffraction (XRD) shows all the XRD peaks associated with carbon. However, the peak at ~24° is suppressed by the substrate peaks (Cu). These results suggest that nitrogen atoms can be efficiently incorporated into the graphene using thinner copper substrates, rather than the standard 25 µm ones. This is important for tailoring the properties by graphene required for microelectronic applications.

1. Introduction

Theoretically, graphene was studied for a long time and it was believed that the isolation of monolayer materials at finite temperatures is unphysical due to inherent thermodynamic instabilities of their lattices. Novoselov et al. [1] in 2004, used mechanical exfoliation method to rediscover graphene which became a promising electronic material, due to its unique properties such as high electron mobility useful for several potential applications [2–7]. However, to realize the graphene-based circuits in real devices, the modulation of electronic properties of this material is required. One way to achieve this is to induce defects by doping with n- or p-type doping elements. Previously reports show that carbon nanotubes (CNTs) can be efficiently doped with n-type and p-type using nitrogen and boron atoms, respectively [8–10]. In case of graphene, doping can also significantly change its electronic properties. Theoretical and experimental studies [11] revealed that substitutional doping should modify the electronic band structure of graphene [12–14] which enhances the possibilities of its applications [15–17]. Doped graphene promises several breakthroughs and widespread potential applications due to predicted superconductivity [18], ferromagnetism [19], etc. In view of this, intensive research is now focusing on the possibility of graphene doping under controlled conditions.

There are various methods to produce graphene such as mechanical exfoliation [1], thermal decomposition of silicon carbide (SiC) [20], oxidation of graphene [21], liquid-phase exfoliation of graphite [22], Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD) [23] etc. Out of them, for the large-scale industrial growth of graphene, CVD-based fabrication methods are preferred. Different substrates were used to synthesize graphene by CVD. A variety of transition metals such as ruthenium (Ru) [24,25], iridium (Ir) [26,27], cobalt (Co) [28], nickel (Ni) [29,30], platinum (Pt) [28,31] and palladium (Pd) [28,32] are being extensively used for the graphene growth. The carbon solubility in metals and the growth conditions determine the deposition mechanism and also define the
morphology along with the thickness of the graphene films. Graphene grown on Co(0001) and Ni(111) surfaces has a lattice mismatch of less than 1% [26], whereas it can be more than 1% in the case of Pt(111) [28], Pd(111) [28], Ru(111) [25], and Ir(111) [27].

Ni and Cu substrates are the most widely used due to low cost and availability. Kim et al. reported high optical transparency (80%), low sheet resistance and greater electron mobility of 3700 cm²/V s for the graphene grown by CVD on polycrystalline Ni, and transferred on to SiO₂ substrate [33]. Recently, Li et al. have demonstrated that Ni limits the control of the number of layers, resulting in a single to a few layer graphene [34]. In the case of Cu, graphene grows as uniform and high-quality single layer over a large area. The studies of Sutter et al. have demonstrated uniform high quality single layer graphene growth over a large area up to 30-in. on polycrystalline substrates [35]. Their study further confirmed 95% of the copper surface covered by a single layered graphene while the remaining area was covered by 2–3 layer graphene. Copper, as a substrate, has shown catalyzing behavior for several carbon allotropes, such as graphite [36], diamond [37], carbon nanotubes [38,39] and graphene [35], as was unintentionally achieved in 1991 in an experiment designed to catalyze the growth of diamond by CVD.

Given the extensive applications of graphene related materials and based on the success of graphene growth on the large areas of Cu substrates, we aimed to grow doped thin films by CVD. We performed the growth of highly homogenous in-situ nitrogen doped graphene on large Cu substrates in its single layer form. The effect of substrate thickness on defect structure is studied by calculating defect concentrations based on local activation model associated with the distortion of the crystal lattice upon introduction of nitrogen atoms. By means of confocal Raman spectroscopy and XPS we further confirmed the existence of defects created by nitrogen atoms on the different Cu substrates. Our studies reveal that graphene grown on 20 µm thick Cu exhibits higher concentration of defects, as compared to both 10 and 25 µm substrates.

2. Experimental

2.1. Growth of N-doped Graphene by CVD

Graphene was grown on 10, 20 and 25 µm thick copper substrates (GoodFellow, 99.97%). Ammonia (NH₃) gas was used in-situ to supply nitrogen atoms during growth in a chemical vapor deposition system (CVD) with an alumina tube furnace, (see the schematic diagram). To clean the Cu substrates, they were annealed at 900 °C for 60 min under hydrogen (H₂) atmosphere at 85 standard cubic centimeters per minute (scm). This procedure also increases the grain size of the copper and removes the copper oxide. After annealing, the furnace temperature was slowly increased from 900 to 980 °C. Ammonia along with methane (CH₄) and H₂ were flowed into the furnace for a period of 15 min with the flow rate of CH₄:H₂:NH₃: 10:51:10 sccm. After 15 min NH₃ flow was discontinued, while methane and hydrogen were continued for 20 min more with the flow rate of CH₄:H₂:10:51 sccm. Upon this, the samples were rapidly cooled down to room temperature and taken out. All growth experiments were performed at pressure of 35 Torr.

2.2. High Resolution X-Ray Diffraction

The crystal structure of all the films was determined by measuring the high resolution X-ray diffraction (grazing incidence, 0.5°) acquired with a Rigaku Geigerflex diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (λ=1.5418 Å) in the 2θ range 5–80°.

2.3. Raman spectroscopy

N-doped graphene thin films were characterized by Raman spectroscopy using a combined Raman-AFM-SNOM confocal microscope (alpha 300 RAS+, WITec, Germany). He:Ne laser operating at 633 nm and Nd:YAG laser operating at 532 nm were used as excitation sources. The power of the laser was varied from 0.5 to 5 mW, in order not to damage/heat the sample. Raman imaging experiments were performed by raster-scanning the laser beam over the samples and accumulating the full Raman spectra at each pixel. Raman images were constructed by integrating over specific Raman bands using WITec software for data evaluation and processing.

2.4. High-Resolution X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

High-Resolution X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy was performed with an Ultra High Vacuum (UHV) system using a base pressure of 2×10⁻¹⁰ mbar. The system was equipped with a hemi-spherical electron energy analyser (SPECs Phoibos 150), a delay-line detector and a monochromatic AlKα (λ=1486.74 eV) X-ray source. High resolution spectra were recorded at normal emission take-off angle and with a pass-energy of 20 eV, which provides an overall instrumental peak broadening of about 0.5 eV.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. X-ray diffraction

HR-XRD (0–20) scans of nitrogen doped graphene grown on different Cu substrates are depicted in Fig. 1. XRD patterns of Cu substrate before and after deposition of nitrogen doped graphene on 10, 20 and 25 µm thick substrates can be seen in the Figure. The results show the presence of strong peaks associated with Cu which suppresses the carbon (graphene) peak, typically expected around 20 ~ 24°. This could be due to the fact that only a few layer graphene was grown on the substrate, such observation being reported in literature for graphene films on various metal substrates. Based on our XRD results three distinct diffraction peaks are defined at approximately 20 ~ 43°, 50°, and 74°, which correspond to (003), (202) and (220) reflections of carbon [40] and the crystallographic plane of Cu (111), (200) and (220), respectively [41]. The absence of other peaks confirms that no other elements are present in our sample as an impurity.

3.2. Raman spectroscopy and defect calculation

Raman spectroscopy is the best fingerprint technique for analyzing the properties of the carbon related materials including graphene [42]. This technique allows distinguishing among single layer, a few layer graphene and thick graphite. It is also sensitive to defects, excess charge, strain and atomic arrangement of the edges. Fig. 2 shows the...
Raman spectroscopy results of nitrogen doped graphene grown on 10, 20 and 25 µm thick Cu substrates. The spectra were recorded at different time intervals in the range from 10 to 1000 s. The peak intensities related to $D$, $G$, $D'$ and 2D features were collected and fitted with Lorentzian functions. As widely accepted we refer to their heights as peak intensities and these are denoted as $I_D$, $I_G$, $I_{D'}$, $I_{2D}$ for the $D$, $G$, $D'$, and 2D peaks, respectively.

$G$ and 2D peaks must satisfy the Raman selection rule and this makes Raman spectroscopy one of the most important tools for probing the structural defects. The first two peaks are activated by single-phonon intervalley and intravalley scattering processes, and the defect peaks $D$ and $D'$ provide the missing momentum in order to satisfy the momentum conservation in the Raman scattering process [43,44]. In our case the $D$ peak was found almost in the same position in all the samples (~1354 cm$^{-1}$). However, the values of FWHM were found to be around 43, 53 and 53 cm$^{-1}$ for 10, 20 and 25 µm thick substrates, respectively. This band originates from the breathing modes of six-membered rings that are activated by defects. The $G$ peak was found to be at ~1583 cm$^{-1}$ (FWHM ~47 cm$^{-1}$), ~1584 cm$^{-1}$ (FWHM ~45 cm$^{-1}$) and ~1585 cm$^{-1}$ (FWHM ~42 cm$^{-1}$) for 10, 20 and 25 µm thick Cu substrates, respectively, which are due to the $E_{2g}$ phonon at the Brillouin zone center. Finally, 2D peaks at ~2694 cm$^{-1}$ (FWHM ~63 cm$^{-1}$), ~2697 cm$^{-1}$ (FWHM ~100 cm$^{-1}$) and ~2695 cm$^{-1}$ (FWHM ~87 cm$^{-1}$) for 10, 20 and 25 µm thick Cu substrates, respectively, are assigned to the second orders of $D$ peak. All these values were acquired at 100 s acquisition time during Raman measurements. Lucchese et al. [45] have made extensive efforts to study the relationship between the amount (and nature) of defects and the intensities of $D$ and $D'$ peaks. A simple formula was proposed to calculate the defect density $n_D$ (in cm$^{-2}$) with the help of mean distances in graphene ($L_D$, nm) with relation to $I_{D}/I_G$:

$$\frac{I_D}{I_G} = c_1 \frac{r_n^2 - r_s^2}{r_n^2 - 2r_s^2} \left[ e^{-\frac{x r_n^2}{4 L_D}} - e^{-\frac{x r_s^2}{4 L_D}} \right]$$

(1)

where $x = D$ or $D'$; $r_n$ (1 nm) and $r_s$ (3.1 nm) are the radii of the “structurally disordered” area and the “activated area” around the defects, respectively [45]. $c_1$ correlates with the electron-phonon matrix elements and it was found to be 4.2 using the green laser excitation (532 nm). From the above equation, the defect densities $n_D$ (in cm$^{-2}$) were calculated as follows:

$$n_D = 10^{14} / \pi L_D^2$$

(2)

Fig. 2(d) presents the defect densities calculated for the substrates with different thicknesses. From the measured spectra it was concluded that the highest defect density is observed for 20 µm thick Cu substrate. Possible reason for this effect could be the increased surface roughness due to rolling process used for the commercial fabrication of Cu substrates [46]. Due to the strong $D$ peak seen in 20 µm Cu substrate and because of the defect density, the “activated” area starts to coalesce and the structurally disordered area dominates in the graphene sheets [47]. Fig. 2(d) summarizes the defect densities calculated with different laser acquisition times in the range 10 – 1000 s. To check the homogeneity of the samples, we performed Raman mapping. Fig. 3 shows the Raman maps of the $G$ band and $D$ band intensities, as well as intensity of 2D band of the graphene grown on 10, 20 and 25 µm thick substrates. The contrast was more or less uniform signifying that the graphene was homogeneously deposited on Cu, though the quality.
differs due to different quality of substrates used during experiment. The mapping also confirms the overall wide spread deposition of nitrogen doped-graphene on over most of the substrate surface. This result suggests that the CVD process is able to produce sufficiently large areas of graphene.

3.3. High-Resolution X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

Furthermore, we performed HR-XPS to re-confirm the amount of defects created by doping in graphene. XPS is a well-established technique used for revealing the elemental composition and the chemical environment of the detected elements. Fig. 4(a) shows the overview spectra of as grown N doped graphene on 10, 20 and 25 µm thick substrates, while Fig. 4(b, c) depicts the carbon (C) 1 s and nitrogen (N) 1 s core levels, respectively.

C 1 s core level (Fig. 4(b)) can be fitted by a single component, centered at a BE of 284.5 eV, ascribed to C sp² [48]. Thus, from the XPS point of view C 1 s seems to be almost equal in all the samples. On the contrary, significant changes were detected in the N 1 s core level (Fig. 4(c)). Under the same growing conditions the quantity of nitrogen is almost zero in the case of the 25 µm copper substrate (bottom spectra). The blue dashed line that is included in the bottom spectra is only a guide for the eye, fixed at the BE that we could expect substitutional nitrogen in graphene. On the other hand, the nitrogen intercalation in the graphene sheets is clear in the upper spectra, corresponding to the sample grown on a 20 µm thick copper substrate. Two components are clearly distinguished in the respective spectrum of N 1 s core level. The first one, centered at BE of 401.7 eV, is ascribed to substitutional nitrogen atoms in a graphene sheet, whereas the second component (BE = 398.5 eV) is attributed to nitrogen atoms in a pyridinic configuration [11]. In this sample the amount of nitrogen quantified by XPS is about 0.4 at%. Finally, in the case of the sample grown on 10 µm thick copper substrate a sharp N peak is detected at 396.7 eV. This value of BE is too low for the substitutional nitrogen in the graphene sheet. On the contrary, it can be related to the atomic nitrogen bonded to the copper substrate [49]. Fig. 5(b) shows the
Fig. 4. HR-XPS comparison of nitrogen doped graphene grown on Cu substrates of 10 (brown curves), 20 (black curves) and 25 µm (red curves). (a) Overview spectra of N-doped graphene. (b) C 1s and (c) N 1s core levels. The best fits are shown as green dotted line. For N 1s spectra of graphene grown on 25 µm Cu substrate (bottom spectra) the blue line is a guide for the eyes. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article)

Fig. 5. (a) Schematic diagram of the experiment showing the 10, 20 and 25 µm Cu substrates placed in alumina boat in CVD furnace, (b) Possible nitrogen incorporation in graphene structure showing (1) substitutional or graphitic N, (2) pyridine-like N, (3) single N pyridinic vacancy, (4) triple N pyridinic vacancy, (c–e) Atomic Force Microscopy images for average RMS surface of virgin Cu substrates of 10, 20 and 25 µm thickness, respectively (inset shows the optical image captured during AFM acquisition).
possible sites for N intercalation in graphene sheets. There are mainly three types of bonding found in graphene with incorporated nitrogen, namely, substitutional, pyridinic and pyrrolic ones. However, in our graphene (grown on a 20 µm thick copper) we found only substitutional and pyridinic configurations of bonding. In substitutional type of configuration, three nitrogen valence electron form three σ– bonds, one electron fills the π–states, and the fifth electron enters the π∗– states of the conduction band, providing a strong doping effect.

3.4. Surface analysis

Commonly, 25 µm Cu substrates are the ones mostly used for the deposition of graphene. In our experiment, we used three thicknesses of 10, 20 and 25 µm and studied their effect on the nitrogen incorporation. As discussed above, we found that 20 µm Cu substrates are the best for graphene doping. This thickness provides the highest defect concentration as compared to 10 and 25 µm thicknesses. The conceivable mechanism can be as follows: it is well known that Cu sheets are prepared using a rolling process. This rolling technique creates lines with sufficiently high roughness (average roughness of highly smooth Cu sheet can be as high as 100 nm) [46]. Since Cu surface plays an important and crucial role for grain growth during annealing process (during deposition) [50], we infer that, in principle, thinner Cu substrates are likely to have higher surface roughness that will create more nucleation sites for graphene growth and its subsequent doping. As in the case of 20 µm foil, the results show that it is quite possible that the number of grains could be higher than that for 25 µm substrates. It is conceivable that 10 µm substrate might have even more grains available for nucleation as compared to 20 and 25 µm Cu substrates but, since the deposition is done around 1000 °C, it leads to strong evaporation of Cu atoms from Cu surface (because of the melting temperature of Cu ~1085 °C). This is deleterious for the Cu substrates but, since the deposition is done around 1000 °C, it leads to high roughness (average roughness of 10, 20 and 25 µm have an average RMS roughness of 46, 25 and 18 nm, respectively. Hence, from the above observation we infer that thinner Cu substrates having higher roughness as compared to thicker substrates; consequently, the roughness is probably responsible for a number of grains available of nucleation of graphene.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we observed the apparent effect of Cu substrate thickness on in-situ nitrogen doping of graphene by using NH₃ as a precursor. 25 µm thick Cu foils are the standard substrates which were extensively used as substrates for the growth of pristine epigraphite graphene. Our results based on Raman spectroscopy, HR-XPS, HR-XRD and AFM indicate that the use of a thinner copper substrate (20 µm) rather than the standard one (25 µm) is a means to significantly increase the efficiency of doping of graphene sheets with nitrogen. Thus this study provides a clue for heteroatom engineering of graphene, which is required for the electronic applications of graphene.
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