The article studies the late 19th-century occupational structure of Tobolsk in the context of other major Siberian cities. Many urban centres were strongholds for governing this huge territory, and Tobolsk was a typical provincial capital in this regard. In the most economically developed Western and Southern Siberian provinces, cities were not only administrative hubs, but also cultural and economic centres. The authors look at how urban populations were distributed among different occupational groups and social classes, and what role gender and family relations played in terms of employment. This is important, as it may help understand whether Russia’s huge eastern provinces were ready for the transformations which started just two decades after the period whence the main source material of the article originates. The research is based on the first general census of the Russian Empire in 1897. The archives have not preserved primary census manuscripts as a unified collection: so far, only scattered manuscripts have emerged. Clearly, the use of the individual-level nominative census data found for Tobolsk considerably broadens the scope of the research, which was previously limited to aggregate data. The aggregate data provide an opportunity to characterise employment in Siberian cities more generally, demonstrating the occupational specificity of the ‘military’ and ‘agrarian’ cities as well as the provincial centres of Western and Eastern Siberia. The authors more closely analyse the nominative 1897 census data using the database ‘Tobolsk Population in 1897’, which contains information about 92.5 % of employed citizens. The individual-level data made it possible to reconstruct the age and gender structure of the economically active population of the provincial centre, to study the occupations of different estate groups, to look into specific features of secondary occupations, and to see the family’s influence on the choice
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Rассматривается профессиональная структура города Тобольска конца XIX в. Сибирь была самым масштабным регионом Российской империи со слабо развитой сетью городов, большинство из которых выполняли роль опорных центров для административного управления огромной территорией. В наиболее освоенных и развитых в хозяйственном отношении западных и южных губерниях Сибири города становились не только административными, но и культурными, и экономическими их центрами. Вопрос представляется актуальным для понимания готовности сибирских губерний к тем общественным трансформациям, которые начались спустя два десятилетия после рассматриваемого периода. Особенностью исследования является использование персональных данных Первой всеобщей переписи населения Российской империи 1897 г. На уровне персоналий оно позволяет существенно расширить исследовательский диапазон, ранее ограниченный опубликованными агрегированными материалами. С их помощью дана характеристика занятости городского населения крупных сибирских городов, показана специфика занятости «военных», «аграрных» городов, а также губернских центров Западной и Восточной Сибири. Обработка данных переписи 1897 г. на индивидуальном уровне произошла с помощью базы данных «Население Тобольска в 1897 г.», включающей сведения о 92,5 % горожан, имевших самостоятельные занятия. Персональные данные позволили провести реконструкцию возрастной и гендерной структуры экономически активного населения губернского центра, выявить особенности занятости представителей разных сословных групп, специфику дополнительных занятий городского населения, определить влияние семьи на выбор сферы деятельности человека. Все сведения о занятиях населения городов Сибири, в том числе Тобольска, были закодированы с помощью Historical International Standard Classification of Occupations.
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Around 1900, Siberia was the largest region of the Russian Empire, occupying 58 % or 12.5 million km² of its territory. Nikolay Yadrintsev, a famous publicist and ethnographer in the late 19th century, authored the book *Siberia as a Colony in Terms of Geography, Ethnography, and History*. There he defined the region’s borders: “Siberia occupies all the northern part of Asia and stretches to the Arctic Ocean, in the east it reaches the Pacific Ocean, its southern border is the Chinese Empire, in the south-west it borders
on the Middle Eastern part of the Russian Empire and in the north-west and the west it is separated from European Russia by the Urals” [Ядринцев, с. 6].

This huge territory was home to only 4.6 % (5.7 million people) of the Empire’s population. The density registered by the 1897 census was less than 1 person (0.46) per km². Geographically, Siberia was divided into a western and an eastern part, and for administrative purposes into the eastern Irkutsk governorate¹ (made up of Irkutsk, Yeniseisk, and Yakutsk Oblasts),² the Priamurskoe governorate (Amur, Primorsk, and Zabaikalsk provinces), Sakhalin Island, and western Tobolsk and Tomsk provinces³ [Учреждение Сибирское, с. 471–472]. The provinces and big cities of Siberia are presented in fig. 1.

In addition to its geography and location, Siberia had a number of socioeconomic peculiarities. In the late 19th century, the region attracted substantial voluntary immigration. The well-known economist and statistician Alexander A. Kaufman stated that over 700,000 persons moved to Siberia in the decade before the 1897 census, only 10 % of whom returned [Кауфман, с. 271]. The main destinations in Western Siberia were areas like the “Tobolsk forest steppe” and the “Kabinetskaya datcha”, a fertile part of the Altai mining okrug (district)⁴ in Tomsk province. In Eastern Siberia,

---

1 A governorate (губернаторство) was an administrative unit in the Russian Empire which included several (usually three) sub-governorates or oblasts.
2 Oblast was the name of some administrative units corresponding to guberniyas. This word usually denoted newly annexed territories. Hereafter – province.
3 A guberniya was the principal administrative subdivision of the Russian Empire. Hereafter – province.
4 An okrug was a territorial unit in an oblast similar to a uyezd (district) in a guberniya. Hereafter – district.
the most attractive regions were Amur, Yeniseisk, and Irkutsk provinces [Семенов, с. V].

One important group of immigrants were the exiles. From 1807 to 1899, 864,000 exiles and their families went to Siberia [Лучинский, с. 761]. The biggest convict prisons of the 19th century were located in Siberia, e.g., in Tobolsk and the Nerkinsk Penal Colony (Zabaikal province). Convicts made up over 8% of the population of Nerkhinsko-Zavodskoy district of Zabaikal province. Penal servitude was defined as “forced labour done in the state’s favour by the most severe criminals” [Слиозберг, с. 756]. In Tobolsk, the initial major group of exiles were Swedish prisoners of war, who arrived in the early 18th century [Thorvaldsen, 2008].

A further peculiarity of Siberia’s social structure was the complex ethnic composition of the region. There were over 100 ethnic groups, as one of the best-known ethnographers of the early 20th century reported [Патканов, с. VI]. However, according to the 1897 census, the Russian population of Siberia made up 80.9%, and most of the urban population was Russian.

By the time of the 1897 census, 50 settlements were considered cities, containing 8.2% of the population. Initially, Siberian cities were strongholds for governing the territory and controlling its development, but in the 1890s such “foothold” cities were characteristic of the northern and coastal regions of eastern Siberia, while many cities in the western and southern provinces were primarily cultural and economic centres [Naumov, p. 130].

The late 19th and early 20th centuries witnessed great economic changes in Siberia: this was determined by the infrastructural development of traffic arteries and trade routes, which had moved to the south and crossed the territory of the “main settlement belt” by the late 19th century [Лаппо, с. 280]. Especially intensive was the construction of the Trans-Siberian Railway. These processes influenced the urbanisation and economy of the territory. Thus, the 1897 census registered the results of a unique epochal change. Many historians consider the 1890s in Siberia to be a transitional stage away from the post-reform period, which had begun after the abolition of serfdom in 1861 [Зиновьев, с. 29–30; Шиловский, с. 6; Скуневский, Гончаров, с. 162]. These changes naturally influenced the occupational structure of urban populations in Siberia. In addition to our research questions about the occupational structure revealed by the census, we also want to hint at a wider issue in Russian historiography: to what extent did such modernisation prepare the Asian part of Russia for the dramatic events and reforms which occurred only two decades after the census?

**Siberian occupations in the 1897 census**

The largest and most complex source for studying Russian occupations in the late 19th century is the first general census, taken on 28 January 1897. The census was prepared at two international statistical conferences in Saint Petersburg: relevant experience was also taken from a comprehensive,
century-long collection of nominative family data in taxation lists and ministerial records. Like in the British Empire, the census was simplified by sticking to the *de facto* enumeration principle; people had to be listed and counted simply where they met the census takers on the census day [Thorvaldsen, 2006].

The fourth regular session of the International Statistical Institute, held in 1897 in Saint Petersburg, recognised the All-Russian Census as the largest in the world, since it included 130 million persons [Елизеева, Попова, с. 85; Rowney, Stockwell, p. 219]. The census data were tabulated using statistical Hollerith machines [Rowney, Stockwell, p. 219] in a process that took eight years: some of the census results were translated into French [Первая Всеобщая перепись…]. After the release of the main results in 1905, the aggregates became one of the most popular statistical data series used in both Russian and foreign research [Kasatkina, Marcinkevicius]. The central variables were age [Rowney, Stockwell], ethnic characteristics based on language, marital status, and religion [Lewis, Rowland, Clem; The Cambridge History of Russia]. Using data on employment was hampered by several factors. Firstly, the distribution of occupation groups was skewed [Кадомцев, с. 19–20]. Second, the 1897 census publications stressed an industrial classification of occupation groups [Final Report Summary, p. 28]. Thirdly, the 1897 census aggregates used only a two-level division of 65 groups and 390 sub-groups. For this reason, researchers have offered from 6 to 17 of their own ‘major’ groups, which were determined by their different approaches to examining the occupational structure of the region [Ленин, с. 501–505; Миронов, с. 307; Скубневский, Гончаров, с. 267; Spitzer]. In our research, we primarily used the “major” groups provided by the HISCO (Historical International Standard Classification of Occupations).

The question about occupation (“Occupation, trade, business, office or service”) was the fourteenth on a census form where the census taker had to register: a) their main occupation (which provided the main means of living), b1) secondary or auxiliary occupation, and b2) military position. The aggregation of occupations in the 1897 census produced four tables: 1) Population by occupation and age group, 2) by *uyezd* (district) and city, 3) by ethnic group, which was determined on the basis of native language, and 4) population occupied in agriculture, nomadic economy (fishing and hunting), and commercial trades. Each table distinguished between males and females and provided the number of family members who were self-employed individuals.

The 1897 census results were published in nine volumes presenting data on 65 occupation groups for all Siberian districts, cities, and administrative centres. There was the four-volume *Population Distribution by Main Occupations and Age Groups in Separate Territories*, thea two-volume *Number and Composition of Russian Workers*, with data on the provinces
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5 For the census forms, see: [Edlund].
as a whole, and the two-volume *Total Summary of the... Census Results... 1897* [Общий свод...], which has statistics for Siberia as a whole as well as for individual Siberian provinces and Sakhalin Island. The aggregated occupational data from all these published sources were the basis for the database *Professions and Occupations of the Russian Empire in the Late 19th to Early 20th Centuries* [Профессии и занятия населения Российской империи, 2014], which can be accessed freely [Профессии и занятия населения Российской империи, 2017]. All statistics for this article were derived from this database.

The sources for the study of occupations in Tobolsk are published aggregated data and archival documents. The latter include the 1897 census manuscripts preserved in the State Archive in Tobolsk city [Государственное учреждение...]. Such historical census lists have generally not been preserved in Russia, and they were considered lost for a long time. The information that some regional archives were storing copies of individual 1897 census manuscripts appeared only in the 1990s. Discussions on the safe-keeping of the 1897 census manuscripts in various regional archives can be found in articles by both Russian [Литвак, с. 123] and foreign researchers [Edlund, p. 33]. However, surveys have not yet been conducted. As far as is known, the collection of 1897 census manuscripts in the Tobolsk archive is the most fully preserved.

From these original archival materials, we have created the unique database “The Tobolsk Population in 1897”. This includes all 10 203 citizens with a registered occupation or social status. 10 124 persons have records about a primary occupation and 1 637 about a secondary one, out of whom 79 named only the latter. The sample in the database makes up 50% of the total population: 92.5% of these people provided some kind of occupational data. The database does not cover students or the occupants of orphanages, asylums, poorhouses, and hospitals. However, records which marked one’s former status as a prisoner and those which noted the civil occupations of the members of the Tobolsk infantry battalion are included. The database has the following information about each citizen: first name, surname, patronymic (if available), sex, age, marital status and relation to the householder (“owner himself”, “wife”, etc.), estate, native language, religion, literacy and educational institution, primary occupation, and secondary occupation. The notes provide information about injuries, military service, and other data.

In order to unify and compare the aggregated and personal data, we encoded all the 1897 occupations in Siberian cities according to HISCO. This was carried out using the major HISCO groups. HISCO’s Additional Classification was used as well, including such groups as “earning a living from capital or immovable property or money from parents or relatives”, “earning a living from the treasury and
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6 Estate (состави) refers to the social estate, which here means a group of people legally distinguished from the rest of population: the distinction was hereditary.
public institutions as well as private persons’ money”, and “unknown occupations”. Note that in order to optimise the employment structure and study the social peculiarities of small groups, we merged those employed in administrative management and clerical work into groups 2–3, while groups such as “the armed forces” and “the imprisoned” were kept separate [Брюханова, Сарафанов, Владимиров, Колдаков, с. 262; Владимиров, Сарафанов, Щетинина, с. 32]. The results of the HISCO group comparison between the aggregated and the personal data are presented in fig. 2. This demonstrates a high correlation between the aggregated and the personal data: the result for the nine groups is 0.85.

![Fig. 2. Occupations of Siberia and the city of Tobolsk by major HISCO groups:](image)

The 7.5 % difference in the Additional Classification group is accounted for by the exclusion of data on over 500 students as unoccupied.

The 11.5 % difference in HISCO group 7–9 “production and related workers, transport equipment operators and labourers” is down to different counting systems: the authors’ calculations show that this group includes all production occupations irrespective of the position in the occupation and in the household as well as those occupations which were recorded as being primary ones.
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7 The 7.5 % difference in the Additional Classification group is accounted for by the exclusion of data on over 500 students as unoccupied.

The 11.5 % difference in HISCO group 7–9 “production and related workers, transport equipment operators and labourers” is down to different counting systems: the authors’ calculations show that this group includes all production occupations irrespective of the position in the occupation and in the household as well as those occupations which were recorded as being primary ones.
Tobolks among Siberian cities in the late 19th century

Tobolks was the Siberian capital from the 16th to the late 19th century. On the one hand, it was far from the transport and economic centres concentrated further south. On the other hand, it was still an important administrative hub as well as a developed city. Tobolks was one of eight Siberian cities with a population exceeding 20 000 people: the others were Blagoveschensk, Vladivostok, Krasnoyarsk, Tobolks, Tyumen (the district city of Tobolks province), and Barnaul (in the Altai district in Tomsk province). The economic and cultural centres Tomsk and Irkutsk had populations of over 50 000. This group was followed by seven cities with a population of over 10 000 people which stood out economically and culturally: among them was Chita, the centre of Zabaikal province. Together, these 15 cities were home to 74 % of the Siberian population. Other cities were small or village-like; eight cities in Eastern Siberia had fewer than 1 000 residents. Such settlements had been granted their city status and corresponding administrative structure via the 1892 city government law, the Gorodovoye Polozheniye [Города и поселения в уездах, с. 1].

The distinctive feature of major Siberian cities including Tobolks was the dominance of two groups in urban economic life. These were HISCO group 5 “service workers”, with from 19 to 31 % of the employed, and groups 7–9 “production and related workers, transport equipment operators and labourers”, with from 19 to 42 % of the employed. The overall proportion for Tobolks was 54 %. The dominance of these occupations made such urban settlements qualitatively different from those considered cities only because they had been conferred administrative powers.

The large- and medium-sized Siberian cities had a number of features that were determined by the region’s territorial and economic specificity. First, in the “military cities” (Vladivostok, Khabarovsk, Chita, and Blagoveschensk), the social structure was dominated by “the armed forces” (with from 13 to 33 % of the employed), which skewed the sex-age composition (males made up 60 to 84 % of the population) and caused a high level of employment (up to 82 %). Such cities were necessary in Western Siberia for border control and administration of the vast underdeveloped territory. Second, the major “agrarian cities” in the developed regions (Biysk and Kolyvan in Tomsk province, Minusinsk in Yeniseisk province) were characterised by high employment in the agrarian sector (from 12 to 21 %), low overall employment (28–32 %), and a small surplus of women (51–52 %). The third group of major cities includes those involved in trade (from 5 to 10 % of the employed). Trade was important in the economy of those Western Siberian cities (Kurgan, Tyumen, Barnaul, Biysk, and Tomsk) which were centres for Siberia’s commerce with European Russia. Moreover, the eastern city Blagoveschensk took part in international trade (10 % of some 30 000 people were citizens of China, Korea, and Japan: half of them were occupied within trade).
The provincial centres (Tobolsk, etc.) were somewhat special among the big Siberian cities, as they differed with respect to the number of people employed in industrial production, which included both small plants in the manufacturing sector and big plants in the mining industry (over 50%), and a surplus of men (59% on average). These were administrative and cultural centres, and a concentration point for government and social institutions, including religious, medical, educational, and cultural establishments. In Tobolsk in 1897, there was a consistory and an episcopal palace, a building for the provincial public office with a court and a police department, 25 churches, 20 educational institutions, 5 charitable and 10 medical institutions, a poorhouse, and city and jail hospitals [Алисов, с. 264; Латкин, с. 384]. The goals of public management as well as demand for medical and qualified technical workers, teachers, and professionals in the provincial centres led to a great deal of employment in group 0–1 “professional, technical and related workers” (4–6 %) and group 2–3 “administrative and managerial workers, clerical workers” (4–8 %). This is not characteristic of the military and administrative centres of the underdeveloped territories (Blagoveshchensk and Vladivostok). Tobolsk could boast one of the highest rates of those employed in groups 0–1 and 2–3 – 12.4 %8. The reverse side of the concentration of such institutions in the provincial centres was a high degree (over 10 %) of people earning a living via tax incomes, public institutions, and the capital of private persons. 12.6 % of Tobolsk’s population were coded within the “Additional Classification” group.

Thus, in the late 19th century, Tobolsk was a typical provincial administrative centre in Western Siberia, with its citizens mostly involved in traditional occupations. A peculiar feature that touched on social composition was the quartering of the Tobolsk infantry battalion in the city (over 800 people of high and low ranks), a prison fortress, and the two biggest Siberian convict prisons, with over 1 000 arrestees.

Social structure and estates in Tobolsk, 1897

The 1897 census enumerated 20,425 persons de facto in Tobolsk [Первая Всеобщая перепись, т. 46, с. 1; Thorvaldsen, 2006]. The nominative data show that 11 028 (54 %) of them had occupations: fig. 3 displays the sex and age distribution according to employment status.

Males aged from 20 to 60 dominated the workforce: 95 % of them had occupations according to the census. A similar gender and age structure characterised most Siberian cities. Two of the reasons for this skewed sex-age pyramid were the military and prisoners, leading to a sharp increase in the male population aged 20–29. In addition, the major cities and provincial centres attracted seasonal and other temporary workers, especially in-migrating peasants in the winter when the 1897 census was taken.
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8 Calculated by the authors in the [Население Тобольска в 1897 г.].
This was true for men aged 20–50 and single women under 29. In Tobolsk, male and female employment made up 72 and 34 % respectively. This share for the men was in line with the average employment of provincial centres, whereas the female employment was a bit higher and corresponded to that in the biggest cities such as Tomsk and Irkutsk, which, like Tobolsk, possessed female educational institutions.

As expected, an important factor limiting female employment was marriage. Only 28 % of married women had paid occupations. The most common age of married women was 20–39. Out of 3,071 females of this age, 63 % were married. For the males, the most common age to be married was 30–49; out of 2,903 males of this age, 73 % were married. Being single, divorced, or widowed changed a woman’s social position and forced her to work in order to fend for herself and her family. Thus, 67 % of the widows and 47.8 % of the divorced women had occupations. Among single women, 80 % were aged 10–29 and might have been provided for by their parents: as such, only 36.8 % went to school or worked (fig. 3 leaves out about 300 female students in Tobolsk educational institutions due to lacunae in the database).

Military installations, migrating peasants, and prisoners influenced the social structure of the provincial centres. The urban estates dominated the population of big Siberian cities: petty bourgeoisie, merchants, and freemen, who made up 40 % on average, took part in all economic sectors, as is shown in table.

Members of the rural estates (37.4 % of the population in Tobolsk) were numerous, especially in the industrial and service sectors. Such social heterogeneity was rarer in the “agrarian cities” of Western Siberia, where the urban estates equalled from 60 to 82 %. In Tobolsk, the presence of a large rural estate was due to the labour mobility of peasants. Such mobility also explains the high employment among peasants, 45.2 % (52.2 % of conscripted peasants are included): in the non-urban areas, the average peasant employment was 25 %. As for position in the household, 24.5 % of the peasants were labourers or servants: only 27 % had their own household, while 18 % of working peasants lived in hostels or rented rooms.

* Calculations were made in [Население Тобольска в 1897 г.] (employed population) and [Первая Всеобщая перепись населения Российской империи 1897 г., т. 46, с. 10–11] (general population).
### Occupations of social estate groups in Tobolsk, 1897 census

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status groups</th>
<th>Occupation groups (total, %)</th>
<th>Noblemen (by birth and award), civil servants</th>
<th>Clergy</th>
<th>Freemen, merchants, petty bourgeoisie, and other urban estates</th>
<th>Peasants</th>
<th>Natives</th>
<th>Other estates</th>
<th>Estate unknown</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups 0–1</td>
<td></td>
<td>41.1(^{11})</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional classification groups</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups 2–3</td>
<td></td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups 7–9</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3 305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without occupations, n</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of the employed, n</td>
<td></td>
<td>986</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3 351</td>
<td>3 455</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>10 202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among them:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exiles, n</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1 024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military men, N</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Tobolsk(^{12})</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 445</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>8 289</td>
<td>7 649</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>20 425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The share of employed in the estate group, %</td>
<td></td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most attractive occupations for both the immigrating and urban-born peasants was groups 5 “service workers” (57.4 % of the employed in the group) and 7–9 “production and related workers, transport equipment operators and labourers” (45.6 %). Peasants worked as servants in private houses and institutions, cabmen, and labourers. Most peasant estate occupations are covered by group 6 “agricultural, animal husbandry and forestry workers, fishermen and hunters” (74.9 %), particularly farming and fishing.

---

\(^{10}\) The calculations were made in the database [Население Тобольска в 1897 г.]: military men and the imprisoned are shown individually.

\(^{11}\) The share of the estate representatives in the HISCO group (without military men and the imprisoned).

\(^{12}\) Data from: [Первая Всеобщая перепись населения, т. 46, с. 46-47].
The urban estates – noblemen and civil servants – had a 40 % employment rate. Out of 2,445 noblemen and civil servants, 56.7 % were household heads. 41 % of 8,000 members of the petty bourgeoisie had their own household while only 14 % were registered as servants (only 3 % of the noblemen in Tobolsk were servants). These social estates were also well represented in HISCO groups 0 and 1 (41 % – noblemen, 23.1 % – petty bourgeoisie) and group 2–3 (39 % – civil servants and noblemen and petty bourgeoisie). These occupations demanded special education, talent, and occupational skills. Clerical and church officers were represented only in groups 0 and 1, with 61 % of the clergy having such an occupation. It is interesting that about half of the urban estates were employed within groups 7–9 (1,489 from 3,351 persons), but merchants and petty bourgeoisie played the main role in group 4, making up 74 % of those employed in trading.

On the basis of the nominative data, we singled out the 782 military personnel and the 1,024 exiles. They are registered in the census with their present and former occupations. Half of the military men belonged to the peasantry while among the 1,024 imprisoned, only 336 were actually noted as “exiles”: the rest listed their social estate at birth (51 % in the peasant estate). Additional groups include people drawing a pension, those who received a living in private homes and charitable institutions, and those who lived off donations or their own capital – 69.2 % of this group were noblemen, civil servants, and members of the urban estates.

To sum up employment among the social estates, noblemen and civil servants mostly belonged to HISCO groups 0–1 and 2–3, the clergy were only in group 0–1, and the peasants were in groups 5 and 7–9. For many peasants, these occupations were temporary. The members of the urban estate worked in all sectors of the urban economy.

Individual-level data provide an opportunity to compare primary and secondary occupations within the HISCO groups. 15 % of the Tobolsk working population had additional sources of income. Among them were 447 women who listed their primary social status as “with the husband”, “with a brother”, or “pension”. Their “secondary” income came from “private obstetric practice”, “private teaching”, or work as laundry girls, needlewomen, knitters, etc. Many with additional earnings worked in agriculture: they made up 254 persons, or 25.4 %, including military men who farmed as a primary occupation. The smallest share of people with additional earnings can be found in group 5 “service workers” (179 people, or 8.5 %, of those employed in group 5, which includes men who listed military service as their primary occupation) and groups 7–9 “production and related workers, transport equipment operators and labourers” (287 people, or 8.7 %).

The combination of individual-level primary and secondary occupation data was especially illuminating for the military. The Tobolsk infantry battalion was registered in a separate list, which recorded a primary civil occupation and a secondary military one. Most of the soldiers stated “farming” as their primary occupation and were thus placed in HISCO
group 6 “agricultural, animal husbandry and forestry workers, fishermen and hunters”. During military service, a number of conscripts received additional training (in medical practice for instance), thus receiving an opportunity to become socially mobile. Some chose primary and secondary occupations in the same HISCO group. In group 0–1 for instance, the primary occupation was “provincial government, agrotechnician”, while the secondary occupation was “botany teacher at a paramedic school”: for groups 7–9, the primary occupation was “coach driving” and the secondary one was as a “leatherworker”. The most widespread additional earnings were in the service sector, handicrafts, and as day-labourers.

An additional aspect to study with individual-level data is the influence of kinship and cohabitation. The 1897 census registered 54 households that were not bound together by kinship. These were artels, workmen’s cooperatives, and workers and servants living apart from their masters (402 people all in all). There were 406 households with one household head and some servants (only 892 people). Family households (i.e. households where relatives lived together) numbered 3,059, with 16,413 persons [Первая Всероссийская перепись, 1905г., т. 46, с. 7, 10]. The “Tobolsk Population in 1897” database contains 1,040 family households with 2,609 persons having an occupation. These family households were used to form one male and one female sample. The male sample was from the 173 households with information about the occupations of 173 fathers and their 205 sons. The female sample was from the 100 households with two or more female relatives including wives, daughters, sisters, nieces, daughters-in-law, sisters-in-law, etc. Moreover, a third sample was constructed with 160 potential father-son relations (232 sons) across households, linking them via the father’s first name and the son’s patronymic and the age difference. When the fathers and sons were distributed across the HISCO groups, it seems that cohabitation did not influence the sons’ choice. In the case of cohabitation in the same household, 34 % of the sons followed in their fathers’ footsteps: when they lived in separate households, the figure was 37 %. Groups 7–9 were chosen by 50 % of the sons: the other 50 % chose groups 2–3. Thus, these groups were the most attractive for sons who were transitioning to other HISCO groups. In both cases, the most common “father” occupations were in the service sector (group 5) and trade (group 4). On average, 25 % of the sons moved upward socially. The study of female employment clusters by household gave significantly different results. In 70 of the 100 sampled households, two or more women chose an occupation in the same HISCO group, primarily in groups 5 and 7–9. Often they worked in complementary trades, especially if there were more than three females in a household [Брюханова, Владимиров, с. 124]. For instance, in a household with six women consisting of three sisters (one of them the householder) and three nieces, all were occupied in fur tailoring (HISCO code 7–62.00). Thus, senior household members more frequently influenced female employment, whereas sons’ choice corresponded to their fathers’ occupational group only in about one third of the cases. 25 % of the
other sons managed to move upwards socially: the most socially mobile sons were classified in HISCO’s service and trade sectors.

* * *

In the late 19th century, the building of traffic infrastructure, increasing migration to the south of Western and Eastern Siberia, and the development of the Far Eastern territories led to the growth of provincial centres and other Siberian cities. In the northern and border regions of Eastern Siberia, many cities were established as administrative and military hubs without other economic development. In the southern agricultural regions, we find both agrarian centres and major towns with other economic activities. We have especially focused on Tobolsk, which was situated to the north of the main transportation routes and migration streams. It still had significance because it retained its administrative, historic, cultural, and economic functions. Therefore, the 1897 census aggregates show Tobolsk as a typical provincial centre among the others in Western and South-eastern Siberia.

The unique nominative census data found for Tobolsk shows the dominance of the male workforce aged 20–50, high employment rates, and the dominance of the service sector. The peculiarities manifested by Tobolsk were the presence of a major military unit and the biggest Siberian convict prisons, both of which influenced the social composition of the city. The conscripts, the imprisoned, and the seasonally migrating workers formed a major peasant population with a high employment rate. The urban estates – noblemen and civil servants – made up a big share of the city’s permanent population and took part in all urban economic sectors.

The nominative data allowed us to study the occupations of the estate groups, including secondary occupations. The primary occupations, for instance among the military, could provide both income opportunities and upward social mobility. The individual's social estate could determine to some extent the choice of occupation, as was clearly the case for noblemen, civil servants, and the clergy. However, the estate structure gave room for variation. Thus, noblemen had occupations not only in the intellectual professions and the civil service, but also in the service sector and trades.

Compared to other provincial centres, relatively high female employment rates characterised Tobolsk. This was caused by the presence of educational institutions for women and the participation of women in temporary labour migrations. The share of the employed among single females was twice as high as among those married. In addition to marital status, kinship and cohabitation influenced choice of occupation. However, whereas cohabitation with relatives explained 70% of occupational choice for females, this factor was significant for only 30% of males irrespective of their cohabitation with relatives.

In sum, the 1897 census data show us an urban Siberian population getting ready for the dramatic upheavals during the next couple of
decades. The diversity of employment in all economic sectors characterised a modernising society. Also, the large groups of seasonal work migrants from the countryside provided channels for the diffusion of new ideas. How important the low rate of occupational inheritance from father to son was for the creation of revolutionary groups is difficult to tell. However, it is possible to interpret this as symbolic of a break with century-long traditions of stability.
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